

8 1 5 V

RECEIVED

MAR 16 1993

SOUTH AND ENGLANDING ESPONSE

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Neil Thompson, Hazardous Waste Division, Region X

Cindy Mackey, Office of Regional Counsel, Region X

FROM:

RE:

Arthur B. Weissman, Chief

De Minimis Settlements Survey

Please find attached a survey form for the <u>de minimis</u> settlement(s) related to the Colbert Landfill site. In an effort to facilitate the greater use of <u>de minimis</u> settlements, we are developing a database of specific information about each settlement reached to date. Once these details are compiled, we will review the similarities and differences among the settlements to detect common issues, successful approaches, and frequent pitfalls.

Although we have already retrieved substantial information from the settlement documents, CERCLIS, and other headquarters surveys, we would like to draw upon your expertise for details of the settlements that are not recorded elsewhere (e.g., volumetric cut-offs, premium calculations, etc.).

Please take the time to complete this survey as fully as possible, and return it within two weeks of the date of this memo. We are sending this form to both the appropriate RPM and ORC attorney; however, please coordinate your response and return cnly one form per settlement.

I greatly appreciate your cooperation in this effort, and will keep you informed as our analysis of these settlements progresses.

If you have any questions about this survey or the database in general, please feel free to call Nicole Veilleux of my staff at (703) 603-8939.



De Minimis Data Survey Form

Please complete one form for <u>each</u> de minimis settlement. Verify information already completed, and make any necessary corrections. If you have questions, please call Nicole Veilleux in the CERCLA Enforcement Division at (703) 603-8939. Please complete this form and return it to her attention, mailcode: 5502-G.

Settlement Information	
1. Site Name & State: Colbert Landfill, WA 2. No. of parties noticed overall: 3. No. of parties noticed as de minimis:	5. No. of <i>de minimis</i> settlors that were municipalities:
6. Did any eligible de minimis parties join with or se Yes No If yes, how many	ettle with the major parties?
7. At what point was the settlement reached? ☐ Pre-RI/FS ☐ Pre-ROD ☐ Prior to start of I ☐ Post-RD/RA entry ☐ Other	
8. Was any component of the settlement early de m ROD signature)? Yes No	·
9. According to our records, there are other de	minimis settlements at this site. Correct?
10. If the settlement was reached through an AOC, Date sent to DOJ:/ Date Date approved by DOJ:/_ Effe Date of Federal Register Notice:/_/_	please note the following dates:
11. What was the established volumetric cut-off for	eligibility for the settlement?
12. Was the national model <i>de minimis</i> document us Yes No If not, what other docu	sed as a basis for negotiations? Iment was used?
13. What significant changes to the national model, Please specify on separate sheet and/or attach i	, <u>if</u> any, would you recommend? relevant language.
Background Information	
14. What sources were used to perform the allocati Logs Linvoices Linterviews Linvoices Linterviews Linvoices Linterviews Linvoices Linterviews Linvoices Linux	on? Aanifests 🗖 Other:
15. Who performed the allocation (i.e., transactional EPA □ PRPs □ Other: 16. When was the waste-in data released?	al database/waste-in list)?
☐ With Info. Req. Letter ☐ With Special ☐ With General Notice ☐ With Special ☐	Notice for RI/FS Notice for RD/RA
17. After the PRPs were noticed and the data were database? EPA PRPs	released, who maintained the transactional Other:
 18. Did the non-de minimis parties: a. Submit comments opposing the settlement of th	through judicial action? ion, cost recovery)? Yes No Yes No Yes No No The settlement terms of the

De Minimis Data Survey Form

Page 2 -- Confidential Information

The following information will be held in confidence and will not be released without approval from the Region. Please answer all questions as completely as possible, adding additional sheets as necessary. Attach any supplementary information (e.g., 10-point analysis) that may be useful to understanding how the de minimis settlement was conducted.

miga: mi mie br	/operator, orphan shares, or non-viable PRPs addressed in the volumetric rankemium calculation? In another manner? If so, how?
overrun)?	ne premium used? How was it calculated? What risks does it address (e.g., cost
converted to	odologies were used to perform the volumetric rankings (e.g., all materials o gallons)?
·	
	<u>Important</u>
	Important Please complete the following information: Remedial Project Manager: Name: